# Uniformisation theorem for flag bundles over Riemann surfaces

Anton Isopoussu

August 5, 2019

#### Abstract

We show that there is a simple extension of the Uniformisation Theorem to flag varieties of polystable vector bundles over Riemann surfaces.

#### 1 Introduction

Let C be a curve and denote its fundamental group by  $\Gamma$  without reference to the choice of a base point. Let  $\hat{C}$  be the universal cover of C, which is one of the three model spaces given by the Uniformisation theorem. Let  $\pi$  be the canonical projection  $\hat{C} \to C$  and  $\sigma$  the covering action  $\hat{C} \times \Gamma \to \hat{C}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let E be a polystable vector bundle on C and let  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  be a flag bundle of E over C. All Kähler classes in  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  are cscK. In particular,  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  is K-semistable for all polarisations.

We obtain a partial Yau-Tian-Donaldson correspondence for flag bundles on high genus curves using Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}l_r(E), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(A))$  be a polarised flag bundle on C.

If E is polystable, the flag bundle  $(\mathcal{F}l_r(E), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(A))$  is K-semistable. If E is stable and  $g \geq 2$ , then the variety  $(\mathcal{F}l_r(E), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(A))$  is K-stable.

Finally, if E is simple and  $g \ge 2$ , the YTD correspondence holds for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(A)$  with  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\diamond}(r)$  and A ample.

We prove the following Lemma in Section 3.

**Lemma 3.** If the vector bundle E is simple and the genus satisfies  $g \ge 2$ , then the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  is discrete.

Proof of Theorem 2. The first statement follows directly from Theorem 1 and Proposition ??.

For the second statement, we also need Lemma 3 and Proposition ?? which strengthens Proposition ?? in the case of a discrete automorphism group.

If E is polystable, the final statement follows from the second statement. If E is simple but not polystable, then we can construct a destabilising test configuration for  $(\mathcal{F}l_r(E), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(A))$  by Theorem ??.

Remark 4. In order to prove a full YTD correspondence on flag bundles over curves one would need to analyse the delicate cases when  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  admits vector fields. By Equation (18) and the preceding discussion we see that this may happen when the base curve C is an elliptic curve and when E is properly polystable, that is, isomorphic to a direct sum of stable vector bundles of equal slopes. If the base curve C is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , Grothendieck's theorem states that any holomorphic vector bundle E can be decomposed into a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_E} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_i)$  for some  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r_E$ [?].

### 2 Construction of flag bundles from representations of the fundamental group

Let G be an algebraic group and  $\rho: \Gamma \to G$  be a representation. We define the associated bundle with fibre G [3]

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho} = \widehat{C} \times G / \Gamma \tag{1}$$

by the identification

$$(c,g) \sim (\sigma(\gamma,c), \rho(\gamma)g)$$
 (2)

for  $(c,g) \in \widehat{C} \times G$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The quotient space  $\mathbb{E}_{\rho}$  is an algebraic principal bundle over the curve C.

A representation  $\rho: \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(e, \mathbb{C})$  determines a vector bundle  $E_{\rho}$  by setting

$$E_{\rho} = C \times \mathbb{C}^{r_E} / \Gamma \tag{3}$$

by the identification in Equation (1) with  $\operatorname{GL}(e,\mathbb{C})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^{r_E}$  in the usual way. The vector bundle  $E_{\rho}$  and its associated frame bundle  $\mathbb{E}_{\rho}$  have natural Zariski trivial algebraic structures since the fibre of  $\mathbb{E}_{\rho}$  is  $\operatorname{GL}(r_E,\mathbb{C})$  [4].

A locally trivial holomorphic fibration with fibre F is a holomorphic map  $f: M \to M'$  of complex manifolds M and M' such that each point  $x \in M'$  has an analytic neighborhood  $U \subset M'$  such that the restriction of f to U is given by the first projection  $U \times F \to U$ .

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that E is polystable vector bundle over a (complex, smooth, projective) curve C. Let  $\overline{P}_r$  denote the image of the parabolic subgroup  $P_r \subset \operatorname{GL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$  in  $\operatorname{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$ . Then there exists representation  $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$  such that the holomorphic quotient map

$$C \times \mathrm{PGL}(r, E) / \bar{P}_r \to \mathcal{F}l_r(E)$$
 (4)

is a holomorphic locally trivial fibration with fibre  $\Gamma$ .

Proof of Theorem 5. Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be the frame bundle of E and define the projectivised frame bundle

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}} := \mathbb{E} \big/_{\mathbb{G}_m},\tag{5}$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  acts via the inclusion

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda I \in \mathrm{GL}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) \tag{6}$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . By the Narasimhan-Seshadri Theorem ?? there exists a representation  $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\mathbb{E}$  is the associated bundle

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}} = \left(\widehat{C} \times \mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})\right) / \Gamma.$$
(7)

of the representation  $\rho$  Since multiples of the identity matrix are contained in  $P_r$  we can write

$$\mathcal{F}l_r(E) = \bar{\mathbb{E}}/\bar{P}_r.$$
(8)

Hence the representation  $\rho$  induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$ . The double quotient

$$\widehat{C} \times \mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}l_r(E)$$
 (9)

can be factorised in two ways. We define the map

$$\hat{\pi}: \widehat{C} \times \mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) / \bar{P}_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}l_r(E)$$
 (10)

by

$$(x, g\bar{P}_r) \mapsto \left(\sigma(\Gamma, x), \rho(\Gamma)g\bar{P}_r\right) \in \mathcal{F}l_r(E).$$
(11)

The map  $\hat{\pi}$  fits into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} C \times \mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E} \\ & \downarrow \\ \widehat{C} \times \mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) / \bar{P}_r \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} \mathcal{F}l_r(E) \end{array}$$

and is a locally trivial holomorphic fibration with fibre  $\Gamma$ , since  $\pi$  is.

~

## 3 Constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics on flag bundles and K-polystability

We begin with a proof of Theorem 1, then turn to the proof of Lemma 3.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G denote the group  $\mathrm{PGL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$ . The Picard group of  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  is generated by line bundles of the form  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(A)$  where  $\lambda$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(r)$  and A is a line bundle on C by Lemma ??.

Fix a line bundle  $M = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \otimes A$  with  $A \in \operatorname{Pic} C$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ . Let

$$\pi \colon \widehat{C} \times G/P_r \to \mathcal{F}l_r(E) \tag{12}$$

be the projection constructed in Theorem 5.

There is a Kähler-Einstein (hence cscK) metric  $\omega_0$  in  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ , unique up to the action of G, by results of Koszul and Matsushima [2]. Let  $s_0$  be the (constant) scalar curvature of  $\omega_0$ . Let  $\omega_A$  be a constant scalar curvature metric such that  $2\pi[\omega_A] = c_1(A)$  with scalar curvature  $s_1$  and let  $\omega_1$  be the pullback to  $\widehat{C}$ . Since  $\omega_0 + \omega_1$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, it descends to a form  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  with constant scalar curvature  $s_0 + s_1$ .

Let V be a complex vector space of dimension  $r_E$ . In order to apply a classical result of Demazure, we regard  $\mathcal{F}l_r(V)$  as a quotient of  $\mathrm{PGL}(r, V)$ . Let  $Q_r$  be the image of a stabiliser of an r-flag of subspaces in  $\mathrm{PSL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$  and let  $\mathfrak{q}_r$  be its Lie algebra. Also let  $\mathfrak{psl}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$  denote the Lie algebra of  $\mathrm{PSL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})$ . We have a well known exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathrm{PSL}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{q}_r)/Q_r \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(r_E, \mathbb{C})/Q_r \times \mathfrak{psl}(r_E, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(V)} \longrightarrow 0.$$
(13)

where  $Q_r$  acts on  $\mathfrak{q}_r$  by the adjoint action and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(V)}$  is the tangent bundle.

It follows from results of Demazure and Bott [1, Section 4.8] that we have

$$H^{i}\left(\mathcal{F}l_{r}(V), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}l_{r}(V)}\right) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{psl}(r_{E}, \mathbb{C}), \text{ if } i = 0\\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(14)

Let  $p : \mathcal{F}l_r(E) \to C$  be the projection. Since  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  is Zariski locally trivial on C, this generalises in a straightforward manner. Let h be a hermitian metric on E and let  $\operatorname{End}^0(E)$  denote the sheaf of trace-free endomorphisms on E. Let U be a Zariski open set in C such that

$$\mathcal{F}l_r(E) \cong U \times \mathcal{F}l_r(V).$$
 (15)

We have a natural identification

$$\left(\mathcal{E}nd^{0}(E)/\mathbb{C}\right)\Big|_{U} \cong \mathcal{O}_{B}\Big|_{U} \otimes \mathfrak{psl}(r_{E},\mathbb{C}),\tag{16}$$

where the  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the constant sheaf included in  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(E)$  as multiples of the identity. Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(E)}$  denote the relative tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{F}l_r(E)$  with respect to the projection p. We obtain from Equation (14)

$$R^{i}p_{*}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}l_{r}(E)} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}nd^{0}(E)/\mathbb{C} \text{ if } i = 0 \text{ and} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(17)

Proof of Lemma 3. We must show that the vector space  $H^0(\mathcal{F}l_r(E), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(E)})$  is trivial. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(E)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(E)} \longrightarrow p^* \mathcal{T}_C \longrightarrow 0$$
(18)

where  $\mathcal{T}_C$  is the tangent bundle of the curve C. It suffices to show that  $H^0(\mathcal{F}l_r(E), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}l_r(E)}) = 0$ since  $H^0(C, \mathcal{T}_C) = 0$  as the genus g(C) satisfies g(C) > 1. The vector bundle E is simple, therefore we have  $H^0(C, \mathcal{E}nd(E)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_E$ . The claim follows by identifying  $H^0(C, \mathcal{E}nd^0(E))$  as a subspace of  $H^0(C, \mathcal{E}nd(E))$ .

#### References

- [1] D. Akhiezer. Lie group actions in complex analysis. Springer, 1995.
- [2] D. Alekseevskii and A. Perelomov. Invariant Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact homogeneous spaces. Functional Analysis and Its Applications, 20(3):171–182, 1986.
- [3] S. Kobayashi. Differential geometry of complex vector bundles. Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [4] J.-P. Serre. Espaces fibrés algébriques. Séminaire Claude Chevalley, 3:1–37, 1958.